

Exhibit 1



Skatteforvaltningen v Solo Capital Partners LLP & Others

Day 17MT

May 21, 2024

Opus 2 - Official Court Reporters

Phone: 020 3008 6619

Email: transcripts@opus2.com

Website: <https://www.opus2.com>

May 21, 2024

Skatteforvaltningen v Solo Capital Partners LLP & Others

Day 17MT

1 affirm Mr Shah before we do anything.
 2 MR JONES: Your Lordship reminds me that that might be
 3 appropriate. He needs to be affirmed, thank you. I had
 4 that firmly in my mind at 9.30 but I'm afraid it slipped
 5 my mind in the ensuing 30 minutes.
 6 MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Thank you.
 7 MR SANJAY SHAH (affirmed)
 8 Examination—in-chief by MR JONES
 9 MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Yes, Mr Jones, thank you.
 10 MR JONES: My Lord, thank you. Can we have {V/27/1},
 11 please. Thank you very much.
 12 Mr Shah, I'm going to ask you to look at this on
 13 screen because I understand that is easier for you.
 14 This document is headed:
 15 "First witness statement of Sanjay Shah."
 16 For the main trial, and in the top right—hand corner
 17 you will see it has the date of 19 January 2024. My
 18 first question is do you recall making and signing that
 19 statement?
 20 A. Yes, that's correct.
 21 Q. Now, please will we go over to {V/27/130}. You see
 22 there in the last paragraph, under 719, you will see
 23 a certificate headed:
 24 "Confirmation of compliance and statement of truth."
 25 A. Yes, I can see that.

13

1 Q. If you go over to the next page {V/27/131}, please,
 2 under that certificate, is that your signature, above
 3 the date of 19 January 2024?
 4 A. Yes, that's my signature.
 5 Q. I will come back to ask you more about this statement
 6 when we have looked at the remainder of the statements,
 7 Mr Shah. Can we now go to {V/28/1}. This, as you can
 8 see, is headed:
 9 "Second witness statement of Sanjay Shah."
 10 Can you see the date of this? I can't see it on
 11 screen, but the date is 1 February 2024. Can we go
 12 over, please, to page {V/28/2}. Just read to yourself
 13 paragraph 4, please, on this page.
 14 A. Okay, I've read that now.
 15 Q. Thank you. Do you recall making this statement for the
 16 purpose set out in paragraph 4?
 17 A. Yes, I do.
 18 Q. Please could we go now in the same document to
 19 {V/28/13}. Here we see a confirmation of compliance and
 20 statement of truth in the same form as the one we looked
 21 at earlier and below it is your signature. Could you
 22 confirm, please, that that is your signature?
 23 A. Yes, it is.
 24 Q. Thank you. I will come back to ask you more about this
 25 when we have looked at the next two documents. Could we

14

1 now look at {V/34/1}. This one is headed in the top —
 2 in the tramlines:
 3 "Third main trial witness statement ..."
 4 And in the top right—hand corner it is dated
 5 22 April 2024. If we go over to page {V/34/2}, please.
 6 Will you simply read paragraphs 3 and 4 to yourself,
 7 just to remind yourself of what is there.
 8 A. Okay, I've read those.
 9 Q. Thank you. Do you recall making this statement in order
 10 to provide the updated version of your PowerPoint
 11 presentation?
 12 A. Yes, I do remember.
 13 Q. Thank you. You will see at the foot of the page the
 14 text for the confirmation of compliance and statement of
 15 truth. Can we now go over, please, to page {V/34/3} in
 16 this document. Thank you. Is that your signature?
 17 A. Yes, it is.
 18 Q. Have you been able to review this statement and the
 19 updated PowerPoint presentation before starting your
 20 evidence here this morning?
 21 A. Yes, I have.
 22 Q. And to the best of your knowledge and belief, are the
 23 contents true and accurate?
 24 A. Yes, they are.
 25 Q. Thank you. Could we now please go to {V/38/1}. This is

15

1 headed:
 2 "Fourth witness statement of Sanjay Shah."
 3 And in the top right—hand corner you will see the
 4 date of 13 May. Will you read the first line of
 5 paragraph 1 at the foot of this page, please, and then
 6 tell me when you have read it.
 7 A. Yes, I have read that now.
 8 Q. If we go to page {V/38/2}, please. Thank you. Just
 9 read the first line at the top.
 10 A. Yes, I have done that.
 11 Q. Do you recall making this fourth statement last week?
 12 A. Yes, I do.
 13 Q. Would you now please, operator, go to page {V/38/26} in
 14 this same document. Do we see there, is that your
 15 signature above the date of 13 May 2024, Mr Shah?
 16 A. Yes, that is my signature.
 17 Q. Just for the sake of completeness, have you given your
 18 approval this morning to a revised version of this
 19 statement, which includes the certificate of compliance
 20 with PD57?
 21 A. Yes, that's correct.
 22 Q. Thank you. Now —
 23 MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Mr Jones, just before you now move
 24 to I imagine the next stage of the formality of
 25 verifying, including in relation to earlier statements,

16

1 a lend in to one set of borrowers and a lend out. So
 2 each of those pairs of black arrows has to have the same
 3 total volume of shares —
 4 A. Yes, that's correct.
 5 MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: — as an integral part of the
 6 model that you were structuring; is that right?
 7 A. Yes, yes. But I just want to try and make clear that
 8 netting may suggest that trades are cancelling each
 9 other out, but that's not the case.
 10 MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Don't worry, Mr Shah, I'm well
 11 aware that that is likely to be why you then wanted to
 12 qualify the use of terminology.
 13 A. Yes.
 14 MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: And there may be further questions
 15 for you from others about understandings of what in
 16 terms of the transaction analysis is happening. But the
 17 simple factual question, I think, is that the model
 18 required, as you intended it, that each black arrow
 19 coming into a party or a group of parties would be for
 20 a certain volume of shares that equaled the volume of
 21 shares going out from that same group of parties on the
 22 other black arrow; correct?
 23 A. Yes, that's correct, my Lord.
 24 MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: And similarly, that the volume of
 25 what will be booked as cash movements on the red circuit

117

1 has to be equal sum in, equal sum out, or the model is
 2 not doing what it is intended to do?
 3 A. Yes, that is correct too.
 4 MR JUSTICE ANDREW BAKER: Thank you.
 5 MR RABINOWITZ: I'm grateful, my Lord.
 6 You viewed the model net settling, however you want
 7 to describe it, as potentially game changing for you and
 8 Solo, yes?
 9 A. Yes.
 10 Q. And you considered that it provided the answer to all of
 11 Solo's problems with accessing funds, correct?
 12 A. Yes. Yes, funds were not needed for this model,
 13 correct.
 14 Q. It would be fair to describe Solo, if it adopted this
 15 approach, as operating in its own ecosystem with nothing
 16 to go in or come out of Solo, correct?
 17 A. Yes. I think those are the words that I used, yes.
 18 Q. You also say in your evidence that the trades were
 19 designed to work without external movement of cash or
 20 shares, yes?
 21 A. Yes, that's correct.
 22 Q. Just whilst this trading model by which you sought to
 23 achieve this objective is sometimes referred to in the
 24 documents for the court as the Solo Model, for the sake
 25 of clarity I'm going to try and refer to it consistently

118

1 as the GSS Trading Model, okay. So if that's the
 2 expression I use, you will know that is what I mean.
 3 A. Okay, thank you.
 4 Q. Forgive me when I am inconsistent. Can we go, please,
 5 to {V/34.1/1}, which I think is what we have except
 6 a different page. Thank you. This is, as you will
 7 recognise, the PowerPoint presentation that you produced
 8 in the last few months for your criminal proceedings;
 9 correct?
 10 A. Yes, that's correct.
 11 Q. If we can then go to page {V/34.1/30} of this, this is
 12 your explanation of what I'm referring to as the
 13 GSS Model. You have called it the Solo Custody Model.
 14 It doesn't matter. You will obviously be very familiar
 15 with this, yes?
 16 A. Yes, that's correct.
 17 Q. You say in the fourth bullet point that:
 18 "The (mandatory) use of netting ... [means that] ...
 19 all depot positions and cash balances [will be] zero at
 20 the start and at the end of trading~..."
 21 Do you see that?
 22 A. Yes.
 23 Q. And that is why, as you say, the accounts held by Solo
 24 therefore showed no balances; correct?
 25 A. Yes, the accounts with its subcustodians such as

119

1 JP Morgan, yes.
 2 Q. So the accounts held by Solo showed no balances, yes?
 3 A. Yes.
 4 Q. In other words, Solo does not hold, on this model, any
 5 aggregate positive balance of shares at the beginning or
 6 end of trading; correct?
 7 A. Yes, with its subcustodians, correct.
 8 Q. And it did not hold any aggregate positive cash balance
 9 at the beginning or end of trading, correct?
 10 A. Yes, that's correct.
 11 Q. You have previously called this Solo's trade secret,
 12 yes?
 13 A. Yes.
 14 Q. And you have said that because of this you didn't want
 15 to share it with anybody, correct?
 16 A. Yes, that's correct.
 17 Q. And implicit in this being a secret, Mr Shah, not to be
 18 shared with anybody, is obviously it was not something
 19 any of your competitors were doing, otherwise it would
 20 not have been your secret; correct?
 21 A. Yes, it was my understanding that my competitors were
 22 not operating a model like this.
 23 Q. Yes. They were all still using leverage for their
 24 trades, yes?
 25 A. Yes. But I do need to make a distinction between what

120